

1
2
3
4
5
6 ***E-FILED - 7/1/08***
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 GIFFORD M. MADDEN,) No. C 08-1907 RMW (PR)
12 Petitioner,)
13 vs.) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
14 B. CURRY, Warden,)
15 Respondent.)
16

17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, seeks a writ of habeas corpus
18 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 challenging a decision by the California Board of Parole
19 Hearings (“Board”) finding him unsuitable for parole. Petitioner has paid the filing fee.
20 The court orders respondent to show cause why the petition should not be granted.
21

STATEMENT

22 In 1993, petitioner was sentenced to a term of 19 years-to-life in state prison after
23 his conviction for second degree murder in Orange County Superior Court. At his parole
24 suitability hearing, the Board found petitioner to be unsuitable for parole.
25

DISCUSSION

26 A. Standard of Review
27

28 This court may entertain a petition for writ of habeas corpus “in behalf of a person

1 in custody pursuant to the judgment of a state court only on the ground that he is in
2 custody in violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States.” 28
3 U.S.C. § 2254(a); Rose v. Hodges, 423 U.S. 19, 21 (1975).

4 A district court shall “award the writ or issue an order directing the respondent to
5 show cause why the writ should not be granted, unless it appears from the application that
6 the applicant or person detained is not entitled thereto.” 28 U.S.C. § 2243.

7 B. Petitioner’s Claims

8 As grounds for federal habeas relief, petitioner claims that the Board’s decision
9 violates petitioner’s right to due process because there is not “some evidence” to support
10 the Board’s decision or the factual findings underlying that decision. Liberally construed,
11 petitioner’s allegations are sufficient to require a response. The court orders respondent
12 to show cause why the petition should not be granted.

13 **CONCLUSION**

14 1. The clerk shall serve by mail a copy of this order and the petition
15 and all attachments thereto upon the respondent and the respondent’s attorney, the
16 Attorney General of the State of California. The clerk shall also serve a copy of this order
17 on petitioner.

18 2. Respondent shall file with the court and serve on petitioner, within **ninety**
19 **days** of the date this order is filed, an answer conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of the
20 Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus should
21 not be granted. Respondent shall file with the answer and serve on petitioner a copy of all
22 portions of the underlying state criminal record that have been transcribed previously and
23 that are relevant to a determination of the issues presented by the petition.

24 If petitioner wishes to respond to the answer, he shall do so by filing a traverse
25 with the court and serving it on respondent within **thirty days** of the date the answer is
26 filed.

27 3. Respondent may file a motion to dismiss on procedural grounds in lieu of
28 an answer, as set forth in the Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 4 of the Rules

1 Governing Section 2254 Cases within **ninety days** of the date this order is filed. If
2 respondent files such a motion, petitioner shall file with the court and serve on respondent
3 an opposition or statement of non-opposition within **thirty days** of the date the motion is
4 filed, and respondent shall file with the court and serve on petitioner a reply within **fifteen**
5 **days** of the date the opposition is filed.

6 4. It is petitioner's responsibility to prosecute this case. Petitioner is reminded
7 that all communications with the court must be served on respondent by mailing a true
8 copy of the document to respondent's counsel. Petitioner must keep the court and all
9 parties informed of any change of address by filing a separate paper captioned "Notice of
10 Change of Address." He must comply with the court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure
11 to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant to
12 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14 DATED: 7/1/08


RONALD M. WHYTE
United States District Judge